REMARKS

Claims 11 and 14, which were indicated to contain allowable subject matter were rewritten in independent form and are therefore believed to be in condition for allowance. Independent claim 1 was amended to require the traffic analyzer feature that was more specifically recited in claims 12 and 13 which were indicated to contain allowable subject matter. Therefore, claim 1 is also believed to be in condition for allowance. Independent claim 16 was amended to require that at least one of the operations set forth in original dependent claims 22, 23, 26 or 27 be performed. Since each of these dependent claims was previously indicated to contain patentable subject matter, it is believed that claim 16 is now in condition for allowance. Similarly, claim 29 has been amended to require at least one of the features recited in original dependent claims 35, 36, 39 or 40. Since each of these dependent claims was previously indicated to contain patentable subject matter, it is believed that claim 29 is now in condition for allowance. Independent claim 42 was amended to require limitations similar to those added to claim 29 and is accordingly believed to be patentable over the art of record for the same reasons.

The other pending claims each depend either directly or indirectly from one of the aforementioned claims and are therefore believed to be patentable over the art of record for at least the reasons set forth above. Additionally, the dependent claims require additional elements that when considered in the context of the claimed inventions further patentably distinguish the art of record.

Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner have any remaining concerns regarding the present application, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

Steve D Beyer
Reg. No. 31,234

P.O. Box 778
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778